9 /9

Appl. No. 09/905,274 Atty. Docket No. 8609 Arnd dated 12/9/2006 Reply to Office Action of 12/2/2006 Customer No. 27752

REMARKS

Response to Requirement for Restriction of Inventions

The Examiner has required, under 35 USC §121, election of a single disclosed invention for prosecution on the merits. This hereby confirms the election to prosecute the invention designated in the Office Action as Invention I. This election is made without traverse. Claims 1-19 and 21-27 are drawn to this invention.

Claim20 has been withdrawn by this amendment as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Conclusion

In light of the above remarks, early and favorable action in the case is respectfully requested.

This response represents an earnest effort to place the application in proper form and to distinguish the invention as now claimed from the applied references. In view of the foregoing, reconsideration of this application, entry of the amendments presented herein, and allowance of Claims 1-19 and 21-27, is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ER &/GAMBLE COMPANY

David K. Mattheis

Typed or Printed Name Registration No. 48,683

(513) 634-9359

December 9, 2005 Customer No. 27752

Page 8 of 8